

Geothermal Resource Technologies, Inc.

1444 Rogers Court

Allen, TX 75013-5451

(972) 390-1537

Fax: (972) 390-1851

FORMATION THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY TEST AND DATA ANALYSIS

Analysis for

Tennessee Valley Authority
P.O. Box 1010, CSC 1A

Muscle Shoals, Alabama 35662-1010
(256) 386-2713 • Fax: (256) 386-3529

Test location

Cornersville, TN

March 2, 2001

Test Performed by

Geothermal Resource Technologies, Inc.

Executive Summary

A formation thermal conductivity test was performed at the site in Cornersville, Tennessee. The vertical bore was installed on February 7, 2001 by Miller Drilling. The test unit was attached to the vertical bore on the afternoon of Tuesday, February 20, 2001. The collected data was analyzed by Geothermal Resource Technologies, Inc. under the supervision of Charles Remund, Ph.D., Director of Engineering.

This report provides a general overview of the test and procedures that were used to perform the thermal conductivity test along with a plot of the data in real time and in a form used to calculate the formation thermal conductivity. The following average formation thermal conductivity was found from the data analysis.

⇒ Formation Thermal Conductivity = 1.60 Btu/hr-ft-°F

Due to the necessity of a thermal diffusivity value in the design calculation process, an attempt was made to estimate the average thermal diffusivity for the encountered formation.

⇒ Formation Thermal Diffusivity ≈ 1.12 ft²/day

A copy of the original collected data is available either in a hard copy or an electronic format upon request.

Test Procedure

The procedure for the formation thermal conductivity test is as follows:

- 1. Connect the u-bend ground heat exchanger pipe to the portable FTC unit.
- 2. Connect the data acquisition unit to the wiring harness in the FTC unit.
- 3. Connect the FTC unit to 240 volt power supply (collected data indicated the average voltage throughout the tests was 255.3 volts).
- 4. Fill and purge air from the FTC unit.
- 5. Insulate the exposed u-bend pipes (leading from the well bore surface to the FTC unit).
- 6. Simultaneously turn on the heating elements and initiate the data acquisition device.
- 7. Routinely monitor that the power supply remains connected and the water level of the fluid reservoir within the FTC unit stays at an acceptable level.
- 8. After the test is completed, turn off heating elements, the circulation pump, and the data acquisition device.

Data Analysis

Geothermal Resource Technologies, Inc. uses the "line source" method of data analysis. The line source equation used is not valid for early test times. Also, the line source method assumes an infinitely thin line source of heat in a continuous medium. If a u-bend grouted in a borehole is used to inject heat into the ground at a constant rate in order to determine the average formation thermal conductivity, the test must be run long enough to allow the finite dimensions of the u-bend pipes and the grout to become insignificant. Experience has shown that the amount of time required to allow early test time error and finite borehole dimension effects to become insignificant

is approximately ten hours.

In order to analyze real data from a formation thermal conductivity test, the average temperature of the water entering and exiting the u-bend heat exchanger is plotted versus the natural log of time. Using the Method of Least Squares, the linear equation coefficients are then calculated that produce a line that fits the data. This procedure is normally repeated for various time intervals to

ensure that variations in the power or other effects are not producing erroneous results.

Through the analysis process, the collected raw data is converted to spreadsheet format (Microsoft Excel®) for final analysis. A copy of this data can be obtained either in a hard copy or electronic copy format at any time. If desired, please contact Geothermal Resource Technologies, Inc. and provide a ship-to address or e-mail address at one of the following:

Phone: (972) 390-1537

Fax: (972) 390-1851

E-mail: askouby@grti.com

FTC Test and Data Analysis
Page 4 of 7

Formation Thermal Conductivity Test Report

| Date | February 20 – 21, 2001 |
|----------|------------------------|
| Location | Cornersville, TN |

Borehole Data

| Undisturbed Soil Temperature | Appox. 59° F |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| Borehole Depth | 300 ft. |
| Borehole Diameter | 6.125" |

Drill Log

| Top Soil | 0 – 1' |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Dark Brown Clay | 1 – 10' |
| Sandy - Clay | 10 12' |
| Limestone (soft) | 12 – 80' |
| Lt. Limestone (hard) | 80 – 150' |
| Med. Grey Limestone (md hard) | 150 – 207' |
| Med. Grey Limestone (soft) | 207 – 235' |
| Lt. Grey Limestone (hard) | 235 – 278' |
| Med. Grey Limestone (md hard) | 278 – 300' |

| U-bend Size | 1 in. HDPE |
|-----------------|------------|
| U-Bend Length | 300 ft. |
| Grout Type | |
| Grouted Portion | NA |
| Grout Solids | NA |

Test Data

| Test Duration | 23.8 hrs. |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Average Power | 6,452 W |
| Calculated Circulator Flow Rate | 6.2 gpm |
| Total Heat Input Rate | 22,022 Btu/hr |

Cornersville, TN February 20-22, 2001




Figure 1: Temperature versus Time Data




Figure 2: Temperature versus Natural Log of Time

| Time Period | Slope: a₁ | Average Heat Input (Btu/hr-ft) | Thermal Conductivity (Btu/hr-ft-°F) |
|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 10 – 23.83 hrs | 3.65 | 73.40 | 1.60 |

The temperature versus time data was analyzed using the line source analysis for the time period shown above. An average linear curve fit was applied to the data between 10 and 23.83 hours. The slope of the curve (a₁) was found to be 3.65. The resulting thermal conductivity was found to be 1.60 Btu/hr-ft-°F.

Estimated Thermal Diffusivity

The reported drilling log for this test borehole indicated that the formation consisted primarily of limestone. Kavanaugh and Rafferty (Ground-Source Heat Pumps - Design of Geothermal Systems for Commercial and Institutional Buildings, ASHRAE, 1997) have compiled expected thermal conductivity and diffusivity ranges for various soil and rock types. The measured thermal conductivity for this test is compared to the expected range for limestone, in Figure 3. Also provided in Figure 3 is an estimate for the thermal diffusivity for the formation based on Kavanaugh and Rafferty (Ground-Source Heat Pumps - Design of Geothermal Systems for Commercial and Institutional Buildings, ASHRAE, 1997). The measured thermal conductivity falls on the low end of the range for limestone. Therefore, the thermal conductivity was estimated to be approximately 1.12 ft²/day.

Expected Thermal Conductivity / Diffusivity Range Limestone




Figure 3. Measured thermal conductivity compared to expected thermal conductivity range for the formation along with estimated thermal diffusivity for this soil type.